

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
17 July 2003 (17.07.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 03/057231 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: **A61K 35/78**,
A61P 33/14
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/GB03/00061
- (22) International Filing Date: 10 January 2003 (10.01.2003)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:
0200502.3 10 January 2002 (10.01.2002) GB
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **NATURAL SCIENCE.COM LIMITED** [GB/GB]; Lindslade House, Middleton Street, Llandrindod Wells, Powys LD1 5ET (GB).
- (72) Inventor; and
- (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **HOPKINS, Shaun** [GB/GB]; Lindslade House, Middleton Street, Llandrindod Wells, Powys LD1 5ET (GB).
- (74) Agents: **HARRIS-FOX, Kathleen, Dona** et al.; McDermott Will & Emery, 7 Bishopsgate, London EC2N 3AR (GB).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Published:**
- with international search report
 - before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: **PEDICULICIDAL COMPOUND**

(57) Abstract: There is provided a novel composition containing extract from *Melia azadirachta* and a surfactant, preferably cetrimonium chloride to repel and/or treat head lice infestation in humans. The composition can be regularly applied although a single overnight application has proven to be virtually 100% effective in killing both adult lice and more importantly, louse eggs.

WO 03/057231 A1

Rec'd PGT/PTO 09 JUL 2004
1PEDICULICIDAL COMPOUND

The present invention relates to compounds or compositions particularly suitable for the treatment and prevention of the human head lice, *Pediculus humanis capitis*, as well as other types of lice such as, but not limited to the clothing lice, *Pediculus humanus humanus*.

In general, head lice persists in both developed and underdeveloped countries despite the availability of modern chemical insecticide treatments, public health education, and community based programs of lice eradication. This is often due to a combination of factors. Moreover, there is a school of thought that somehow head lice is a result of bad personal hygiene. This is certainly not the case, as even individuals with a good habit of washing their hair daily may still succumb to a *Pediculus* infestation.

Typically the control of infections with head lice has been performed using conventional insecticides. A common example is the use of pyrethrins. Pyrethrins act by rapidly incapacitating insects, often known as "knockdown". Provided that sufficient material is present, the knockdown effect persists until the eventual death of the insect. Normally the effect of the pyrethrins is enhanced or synergised by the addition of piperonyl butoxide to incapacitate the enzymes that the insect would otherwise use to detoxify the pyrethrins. Although pyrethrins have been used as a pediculicidal agent world wide for a number of years (typically in the form of a shampoo), this common insecticide in fact shows a minimal level of activity against louse eggs. For instance, see Burgess, L., "Malathion lotions for head lice a less reliable treatment than commonly believed", Pharm. J. 247: 630-632 (1991). It is believed that the rapid action of the pyrethrins on lice is hindered if there is a prolonged exposure to water and hence why shampoos are believed to be so inadequate. When lice come into contact with large quantities of water they grasp on to the hair reflexively and close their breathing spiracles to avoid being drowned. Since insecticides are really only effective by entry through the spiracles, when the louse

closes their spiracles the insecticide has little chance of creating the knockdown effect. Thus, pyrethrins are essentially regarded as being nonovicidal, but nonetheless are still prevalent in the major products currently available for treating a head lice infestation.

5 Louse eggs are also problematic. The insecticide must make its way through the physical system that is designed to keep out a wide variety of chemical materials and keep water in. The egg shell has a detachable cap that bears a number of air pores that act effectively to exclude fluids, but will allow the passage of gases and it is through these pores that the louse embryo breathes. It is through these very pores that
10 insecticides must also penetrate. Generally the more viscous a fluid is and the greater the surface tension, the less chance of penetration of the egg pores. It is typically believed that aqueous solutions, cream rinses and shampoos have too great a wetting angle for fluid to flow into the pores directly and will only enter if appropriate excipients are included that will wet and allow the solution to flow more readily.

15

In addition to the physical problems in using the most common insecticides, such as pyrethrins, to treat head lice, there is also evidence that these insecticides are resulting in strains of lice which are in fact resistant to one or more insecticides, including treating agents such as malathion, permethrin, phenothrin and piperonal, to name but a
20 few. There is also great concern amongst the public in using harsh chemicals on for instance, young children, pregnant woman or by individuals that may be particularly susceptible or vulnerable to such chemical use.

In view of the difficulties and concerns outlined above, it is an object of this invention
25 to provide an effective and natural pediculicidal composition for treatment of lice infestations, in particular head lice infestations. It is a further object of this invention to provide a compound or composition which will be effective in the prevention of head lice infestation, which is something that currently available compounds for treating head lice are not believed to accomplish with real effectiveness.

30

To this end the present invention provides an effective pediculicidal compound or composition containing active ingredients which are both natural and non-toxic to individuals in need of treatment. In particular, the invention relates to a pediculicidal composition comprising *Melia azadirachta* seed oil, better known as Neem Oil for the prevention and treatment of lice infestation. The oils and other extracts from the Neem tree, *Azadirachta indica*, and closely related species, have been used for centuries as repellent and insecticidal agents for controlling various types of pests, including in protecting agricultural crops, animals and human infestations. In addition, a surfactant is also added with the Neem Oil to maximise the pediculicidal effectiveness of the present invention. The surfactant, cetrimonium chloride, is particularly preferred. Cetrimonium chloride which forms part of the preferred compound of the present invention appears to be acting as a synergizer with the Neem Oil to result in a pediculicidal composition that results in virtually 100% kill rate of both adult lice and eggs. Even though Neem oil has been known as an insecticidal agent, the exact mechanism by which Neem Oil works in "knockdown" of insects is not yet fully understood nor has there ever been developed a formulation based on Neem Oil which is virtually 100% effective in eliminating both the lice adults and eggs.

In particular, the invention relates to a pediculicidal compound or composition comprising from about 1% w/w to 1.25% w/w of N eem Oil and from about 0.2% to 0.3% w/w of surfactant, preferably cetrimonium chloride. Most preferably the formulation consists of 1% w/w of Neem oil and 0.26% w/w of cetrimonium chloride. Triethanolamine may be present in amounts from about approximately 0.01% to about 0.06%. In addition other ingredients may be included such as those which may also be acting as synergisers with the Neem Oil to the kill rate of both adult, but more importantly the kill rate of louse eggs such as terpenoids, for example, Tea tree oil (i.e. oil or extract of *Melcleuca alternifolia*), light liquid paraffin, isopropylalcohol triethanolamine and ceteraryl alcohol (trade name Lauerex CS).

To prevent the tendency of the pediculicidal composition to dry out the skin of the scalp of the patient, an emollient may be incorporated into the compound or composition. Emollients which are particularly preferred are lanolin and polyols such as glycerol, propylene glycol, sorbitol and low molecular weight polymers thereof. Other examples of emollients are vinyl alcohols and polyvinyl pyrrolidone.

It may also be desirable to add ingredients such as fragrances to make the pediculicidal compound or composition more pleasing to the senses. It is preferred that such fragrances be natural in derivation, although it is not believed to be critical to the effectiveness of the present invention. An example of such a desired ingredient would be lavender oil from the *Lavandula angustifolia* plant. It should be appreciated by the skilled artisan that lavender oil derived from a different species of *Lavandula* may also be included in the pediculicidal compound of the present invention. The addition of these emollients and fragrances is under the proviso that the effectiveness of the active ingredients, Neem Oil and surfactant (or terpenoid) and isopropyl alcohol is not lowered or harmed.

A preferred compound of the present invention comprises the following formulation:

Pediculicidal Compound Ingredients (Formulation UM009S)	WT% ACT
Aqua (Water)	91.155
Cetearyl alcohol	4
Glyceryl stearate	2
Melia Azadirachta seed oil (Neem Oil)	1
Propylene glycol	0.675
Cetrimonium chloride 26%	0.26
Melaleuca Alternifolia (Tea Tree) leaf oil	0.25
Lavandula Angustifolia (Lavender) oil	0.25
Urtica Dioica (Nettle) extract	0.2
Methylparaben & Propylparaben & Ethylparaben	0.15
Thymus Vulgaris (Thyme) extract	0.05
Triethanolamine	0.01
	100

A further formulation of the present invention is as follows:

Pediculicidal Compound Ingredients (Formulation UD07813)	WT% ACT
Aqua (water)	91.105
Cetearyl alcohol	4
Glyceryl stearate	2
Melia Azadirachta seed oil (Neem Oil)	1
Propylene glycol	0.675
Cetrimonium chloride 26%	0.26
Melaleuca Alternifolia (Tea Tree) leaf oil	0.25
Lavandula Angustifolia (Lavender) oil	0.25
Urtica Dioica (Nettle) extract	0.2
Methylparaben & Propylparaben & Ethylparaben	0.15
Thymus Vulgaris (Thyme) extract	0.05
Triethanolamine	0.06
	100

It has been found that if lipophilic materials are prepared/presented in Isopropyl alcohol (IPA) that this will further enhance the pediculicidal activity of these ingredients. For example such ingredients would be Laurex CS, glyceryl stearate, Neem Oil, Lavender Oil and Tea Tree Oil in combination with IPA or optionally substituted for ethyl alcohol. IPA (as well as liquid paraffin and ethyl alcohol are dispersing agents for lipophilic materials) is an effective pediculicide in its own right as it is believe that such compounds enters the spiracles of the lice and asphyxiates the adult louse.

It should be appreciated that the "WT% ACT" amounts or quantities do not have to be limited to the specific amounts or quantities listed above. In addition, and as stated above, the ingredients listed in the compound above, such as for example, cetearyl alcohol, *Lavundula angustifolia* (lavender) oil, *Urtica dioica* (nettle) extract, *Thymus vulgaris* (thyme) extract, propylene glycol and trethanolamine may be suitably substituted. As a result of substituting one or more the above ingredients (excluding the substitution of the Neem Oil or surfactant) the skilled person may therefore need to alter or adjust the percentage composition of the other ingredients accordingly.

The number of applications by the infected individual to the scalp with the compound of the present invention is believed to be greatly reduced from previously available remedies and is believed that a single application of the preferred compound listed above may be sufficient to relieve the individual of both adult lice and their eggs.

5

It should also be appreciated by the skilled artisan that the source or supplier of any of the above ingredients is not believed to be important to the efficacy of the present invention.

10 A comparison of formulations currently on the market for with the present invention, including contra-indications is found in Table 1.

It should also be noted that governmental regulations of certain countries may place limits on the amounts of the above agents to be included in head lice formulations.

15

Experimental Embodiment of the Effectiveness of the Invention

Human lice, *Pediculus humanus*, were obtained from the culture colony maintained by the Medical Entomogy Centre in Fulbourn, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom. Adult female and male lice, in approximately equal numbers were used for pediculicidal experiments. The lice were fed on the morning of the test and allowed a minimum of 4 hours to recover, during which time they were able to excrete excess water imbibed with their blood meal. Lice were counted into batches that were provided with squares of open meshed nylon gauze (tulle), as a substrate upon which to stand, and each batch allocated to a marked 30 millimetre plastic Petri dish.

25

Louse eggs were obtained by providing actively laying adults with close meshed nylon gauze, as an egg laying substrate over a 48 hour period. After removal of the lice the large pieces of gauze were cut into appropriately sized smaller pieces and allocated on a random basis to marked 90 millimetre plastic Petri dishes.

30

Test formulations were compared with a water treated Control group. The ingredients of the formulation tested (the so-called "Nice 'N Clear Head Lice Repellent Lotion" either as formulation UM0095 or UD07813) against the Control are provided in Tables 2 through 10.

5

Pediculicidal tests

For the test procedure against lice, the gauze bearing the lice was first washed using a 1:15 mixture of Boots (type suitable for frequenting shampooing) and warm tap water. The gauze and insects were then rinsed using approximately 250-300 millilitres of warm (35°Celsius) tap water. They were then placed on a medical tissue dampened with tap water to simulate the moistness of washed hair. Sufficient amount of the preferred formulation of the invention was then applied, using the tip of the finger to just coat the lice and gauze. The lice were then returned to their marked Petri dish. The same procedure was repeated for the other replicate gauze squares in the batch.

15

Gauze squares bearing lice were incubated under normal maintenance conditions (30°Celsius +/- 2°C and 50% +/- 15% relative humidity) until the next day when the record of the mortality was made.

20 Ovicidal tests

This test procedure was essentially the same as for the lice apart from the incubation time before recording the results, which was after the eggs in the Control group had complete hatching, approximately 10 day later.

25 Results

Tests of pediculicidal activity showed that the Nice 'N Clear formulation UM0095 tested was active with an overnight application (Table 3). The activity was such that none of the lice demonstrated any sign of life and the majority of them were highly dehydrated with darkening of the tissues due to rupture of the gut.

30

A further test was performed in which lice were treated and exposed to the Nice 'N Clear formulation UM0095 for one hour only. The results are located in Table 4.

5 From the tests it is apparent that the formulation is active against the laboratory lice within a short period of application. However, prolonged application enhances the activity and in practice is more likely to result in elimination of head lice. This is likely because head lice in the wild are normally subjected to a variety of surface active agents used in shampoos and conditioning rinses. In many cases they are also exposed to low doses of herbal extracts contained within such preparations or applied
10 deliberately by carers endeavouring to kill the insects.

Overnight applications of the formulations to louse eggs also resulted in a high level of mortality (virtually 100%). Complete inhibition of hatching did occur with the Nice 'N Clear formulation tested. If one or more of the lice started to hatch, this is designated
15 "Half-hatched" in Tables 5 and 10. There were no half-hatched louse eggs visible after application with the Nice 'N Clear formulation of Table 2. "Undeveloped" for purposes of Tables 5 and 10 refer to those eggs where a level of inhibition of development of the louse embryos occurs. The inhibition which is very substantial for the Nice 'N Clear formulations (UM0095 and UD07813) are likely due to penetration of one or more
20 components of the preparation into the egg structure so that it passed across the chorionic membrane to inhibit development of the embryo inside.

Individual Ingredient Tests for Pediculicidal Activity

Tests to try to determine the active components of the present invention were first
25 attempted using a series of solutions of each material made up in unspecified solvents. The results are provided in Table 7. The results are surprising as it would not be expected for the pediculicidal activity to be as dramatic as is demonstrated for individual components and moreover that a single application of the formulation left on the subject overnight (or 8-12 hours) resulted in virtually 100% killing of both adults
30 and eggs. This is a vast difference from standard lice treatment products which require multiple applications to have suitable effect on killing the eggs (although this is rarely

100% effective even after multiple applications). Materials made up in light liquid paraffin are marked with an asterisk (*) in Table 7. As stated above, liquid paraffin is a effective pediculide in its own rights because it enters the spiracles of the lice and asphyxiates them. The same activity was observed with the liquid paraffin sample
5 provided as a control (as see bottom of Table 7).

The tests shown in Table 7 show that those materials made up in a water vehicle exerted no real pediculicidal activity and that the most active of these materials was the Nipa sept, a commercial mix of paraben preservatives, a material that actually has
10 minimal real insecticidal activity.

A further series of tests was instituted in which the lipophilic materials were either dispersed in water or else dissolved/partially dissolved in a mixture of propan-2-ol (isopropyl alcohol) and water. Some of these materials could be dispersed in the
15 vehicle by shaking vigorously whereas others could only be dispersed by prior warming and then shaking on a blood mixture for 60 minutes. Even after this treatment, both the Laurex CS (i.e. cetearyl alcohol) and GMS N/SE (i.e. glyceryl stearate) were not dispersed in the water vehicle but formed variable sized lumps in the fluid. The effects of these mixtures on lice are shown in Table 8. Of these samples only the alcohol/water
20 suspensions of Laurex CS and GMS N/SE showed any activity and of these only the former killed all lice and prevented them from laying any eggs. In order to test this activity further the Laurex CS mixture was tested at different time exposures. These showed that some activity can be demonstrated from 30 minutes application (Table 9).

25 Activity against louse eggs

Tests against louse eggs using the formulation components tested against lice in Table 8 found that activity could be detected for Laurex CS, GMS N/SE and Lavender Oil after correction for the levels of hatching failure in the Control Group (Table 10). Of these three only the Laurex CS could be shown to have had an activity in excess of 50% kill.
30 Only Laurex CS and GMS N/SE had an activity to inhibit the development of the embryo prior to the stage of the development at which the eyespot can be seen through

the shell of the louse egg (designated "Undeveloped" in Table 10). This means that these two compounds are able to enter the air pores in the cap of the louse eggshell and disrupt the functioning of the membrane surrounding the developing embryo.

TABLE 1

	ACTIVE INGREDIENT	CONTRA-INDICATIONS	Treatment Time	POP *	F
NICE 'N CLEAR	NEEM OIL 1% W/W Cetrimonium Chloride 26% W/W	None	10 mins	×	×
DERBAC-M	MALATHION 0.5% W/W	Under 6 months, Pregnant/Breast feeding, avoid eyes, no repeated use.	12 hours	✓	×
LYCLEAR	PERMETHRIN 1% W/W	Under 6 months, pregnant/breast feeding, swallowing.	10 mins	✓	×
FULL MARKS Lotion	PHENOTHHRIN 0.2% W/V	Under 6 months, asthma, eczema, swallowing, pregnant/breast feeding, eyes, repeated use, coloured, bleached, permed,	2 hours	✓	✓
FULL MARKS Mousse	PHENOTHHRIN 0.5% W/W	Under 6 months, eyes, repeated use, asthma, eczema, coloured, bleached, permed, pre-rinsed hair, can cause skin irritation.	30 mins	✓	✓
PRIODERM Lotion	MALATHION 0.5% W/V	Under 6 months, asthma, eczema, eyes, swallowing, pregnant/breast feeding, repeated use, coloured, bleached, permed, pre-rinsed.	2 hours + 8-10 hours	✓	✓
PRIODERM Cream Shampoo	MALATHION USP 1.0% W/W	Under 6 months, eyes, repeated use, swallowing, pregnant/breast feeding, coloured, bleached, permed, pre-rinsed hair.	5 mins 3 times at 3 day intervals	✓	×
QUELLADA-M Liquid	MALATHION 0.5% W/W	Under 6 months, swallowing, can cause skin irritation, eyes, pregnant/breast feeding, permed, pre-rinsed, coloured, bleached hair.	12 hours	✓	×
QUELLADA-M Cream Shampoo	MALATHION	Under 6 months, continued prolonged treatment.	5 mins (Repeat procedure)	✓	×
SULEO-M	MALATHION 0.5 W/V	Under 6 months, continued prolonged treatment, asthma, eczema, eyes, swallowing.	2 hours + 8 - 10 hours	✓	✓
RAPPELL	PIPERONAL	Under 2 years, eyes, swallowing. If asthmatic or have sensitive skin use with caution.	Spray On	×	✓

* P.O.P = Pharmacy Only Product

TABLE 1 (cont'd)

	ACTIVE INGREDIENT	CONTRA-INDICATIONS	Treatment Time	POP *	F
NATRUCLEAR Tea Tree Shampoo	TEA-TREE	Eyes	10 mins	×	×
BIZNIZ	EUCALYPTUS, ROSEMARY, LAVENDER OILS LISTED	Under 2 years, epilepsy, pregnant, high blood pressure, eyes, swallowing.	Approx 10-15 mins: leave in conditioner	×	×
CHINESE WHISPERS	CHINESE HERBS			×	
ASDA HEADLICE REPELLENT LOTION	TEA-TREE & LAVENDER	Details unknown			
XIT - Aromatherapy Spray	T-tree, lavender, eucalyptus, rosemary, peppermint, west Indian bay / essential oils	Details unknown	Spray on		
XIT - Cleanser, Conditioner & Essential Oil blend liquid	Shampoo = peppermint, lavender, eucalyptus, rosemary, west Indian bay / essential oils Conditioner = as above + T-Tree Essential oil blend = grapeseed, wheatgerm, avocado t-tree, lavender, eucalyptus oils	Details unknown	35-45 mins		
NITTY GRITTY - Aromatherapy West Combing Kit		Details unknown			
NITTY GRITTY - Head Lice Repellent Spray		Details unknown	12 hours		

* P.O.P = Pharmacy Only Product

TABLE 2
NICE 'N CLEAR HEAD LICE REPELLENT LOTION (UM0095)

TABLE 3
Activity of UM0095 on human lice

Treatment	Replicate	Number of lice			Mortality % Total (Killed)
		Total	Killed	Moribund	
Nice 'N Clear overnight	1	19	19	0	100 (100)
	2	22	22	0	
	3	19	19	0	
Control	1	21	5	0	26 (23)
	2	17	1	1	
	3	19	7	0	

TABLE 4
Activity of UM0095 on human lice

Treatment	Replicate	Number of lice			Mortality % Total (Killed)
		Total	Killed	Moribund	
Nice 'N Clear 1 hour	1	9	1	7	89(11)

TABLE 5
Activity of UM0095 on louse eggs

Treatment & application	Replicate	Number of eggs				Mortality %	Undeveloped %
		Total	Hatched	Half-hatched	Undeveloped		
Nice 'N Clear overnight	1	132	0	0	5	99.8	4.9
	2	119	1	1	14		
	3	157	0	0	1		
	Total	408	1	1	20		
Control	1	97	64	1	4	29.3	4.7
	2	125	66	3	9		
	3	136	123	1	4		
	Total	358	253	5	17		

TABLE 6
Activity of UD07813 on human lice

Treatment	Replicate	Number of lice			Mortality % Total (Killed)	Number of eggs
		Total	Killed	Moribund		
Overnight	1	17	17	0		0
	2	18	16	2		0
	Total	35	33	2	100 (94)	0
Control	1	18	1	1	11 (6)	35

TABLE 7
Activity of UD07813 on lice

Treatment	Replicate	Number of lice			Mortality % Total (Killed)	Number of eggs
		Total	Killed	Moribund		
Laurex CS 4.00% *		19	19	0	100 (100)	0
GMS N/SE 2.00% *		21	21	0	100 (100)	0
Dehyquart ACA 1.00%		20	2	2	20 (10)	34
Neem Oil 1.00% *		20	20	0	100 (100)	0
Nettle Extract 1.00%		21	3	2	24 (14)	16
Thyme Extract 1.00%		19	1	3	21 (5)	22
Lavender Oil 0.25% *		20	20	0	100 (100)	0
Tea Tree Oil 0.25% *		20	20	0	100 (100)	0
Nipasept 0.15%		20	3	1	20 (15)	16
Triethanolamine 0.06%		21	5	4	43(24)	16
Liquid Paraffin		19	19	0	100 (100)	0
Water		20	3	1	20 (15)	21

TABLE 8
Activity of UD07813 on human lice

Treatment	Replicate	Number of lice			Mortality % Total (Killed)	Number of eggs
		Total	Killed	Moribund		
Laurex CS 4.00% IPA		20	20	0	100 (100)	0
Laurex CS 4.00% H ₂ O		20	0	0	0	52
GMS N/SE 2.00% IPA		20	8	0	40 (40)	17
GMS N/SE 2.00% H ₂ O		21	2	0	10 (10)	59
Neem Oil 1.00%		20	3	2 (1)	30 (15)	33
Lavender Oil 0.25%		20	1	0	5 (5)	44
Tea Tree Oil 0.25%		20	2	0	10 (10)	43
IPA / H ₂ O mix		20	3	2	25 (15)	61

TABLE 9
Activity of UD07813 on lice

Treatment	Replicate	Number of lice			Mortality % Total (Killed)	Number of eggs
		Total	Killed	Moribund		
Laurex CS 4.00% IPA	30 mins	22	15	3	82 (68)	3
Laurex CS 4.00% IPA	2 hrs	22	18	4	100 (82)	0
Laurex CS 4.00% IPA	overnight	21	21	0	100 (100)	0
IPA / H ₂ O mix	30 mins	23	2	0	9 (9)	19
IPA / H ₂ O mix	2 hrs	20	2	0	10 (10)	23
IPA / H ₂ O mix	overnight	20	8	0	40 (40)	11

TABLE 10
Activity of UD07813 on louse eggs

Treatment & application	Number of eggs				Mortality %	Undeveloped %
	Total	Hatched	Half-hatched	Undeveloped		
Laurex CS 4.00% IPA	102	19	1	70	81.4 (63.7)	68.6 (47.2)
Laurex CS 4.00% H ₂ O	163	117	1	34	28.2 (0)	20.9 (0)
GMS N/SE 2.00% IPA	145	45	1	93	69.0 (39.5)	64.1 (39.7)
GMS N/SE 2.00% H ₂ O	176	135	7	27	23.3 (0)	15.3 (0)
Neem Oil 1.00%	136	73	6	54	53.7 (9.6)	39.7 (0)
Lavender Oil 0.25%	162	109	2	40	67.3 (36.1)	24.7 (0)
Tea Tree Oil 0.25%	166	91	3	56	45.2 (0)	33.7 (0)
IPA/H ₂ O mix	121	62	2	49	48.8	40.5

Figures for mortality of eggs (the overall proportion that failed to hatch) and the proportion that failed to develop (undeveloped) have been adjusted using Abbott's correction for Control group mortality.

CLAIMS

1. A pharmaceutical formulation for use in repelling and/or killing human head lice comprising a solution of Neem Oil and a surfactant.
2. A formulation as claimed in claim 1, wherein the solution comprises from about 1% w/w to about 1.25% w/w of Neem Oil and from about 0.2% to about 0.3% w/w of surfactant.
3. A formulation as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2, wherein the surfactant is cetrimonium chloride.
4. A formulation as claimed in any one of claims 1, 2 or 3, wherein the cetrimonium chloride is present in the composition at about 0.26% w/w of the compound.
5. A formulation as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the composition further comprises trace triethanolamine in an amount of about approximately 0.1% to 0.06% w/w of the composition.
6. A formulation as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the composition further comprises isopropyl alcohol.
7. A formulation as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the solution further comprises either ethyl alcohol or light liquid paraffin.
8. A formulation as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein the solution comprises the following formulation:

5 Aqua (water) - 91.155%;
Cetearyl alcohol - 4%;
Glyceryl stearate - 2%;
Melia Azadirachta seed oil (Neem Oil) - 1%;
Propylene glycol - 0.675%;
Cetrimonium chloride 26% - 0.26%;
Melaleuca Alternifolia (Tea Tree) leaf oil - 0.25%;
Lavandula Angustifolia (Lavender) oil - 0.25%;
Urtica Dioica (Nettle) extract - 0.2%;
10 Methylparaben & Propylparaben & Ethylparaben - 0.15%;
Thymus Vulgaris (Thyme) extract - 0.05%; and
Tethanolamine - 0.01%.

9. A formulation as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein the solution
15 comprises the following formulation:

20 Aqua (water) - 91.105%;
Cetearyl alcohol - 4%;
Glyceryl stearate - 2%;
Melia Azadirachta seed oil (Neem Oil) - 1%;
Propylene glycol - 0.675%;
Cetrimonium chloride 26% - 0.26%;
Melaleuca Alternifolia (Tea Tree) leaf oil - 0.25%;
Lavandula Angustifolia (Lavender) oil - 0.25%;
25 Urtica Dioica (Nettle) extract - 0.2%;
Methylparaben & Propylparaben & Ethylparaben - 0.15%;
Thymus Vulgaris (Thyme) extract - 0.05%; and
Trethanolamine - 0.06%.

10. The use of a formulation as per any of the preceding claims for the manufacture of a medicament for the prevention and treatment of head lice infestation in humans.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB03/00061

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 IPC 7 A61K35/78 A61P33/14

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
 IPC 7 A61K A61P

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

WPI Data, EPO-Internal, PAJ, PASCAL, EMBASE, MEDLINE, BIOSIS

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 01 60163 A (BESSETTE STEVEN M ; ECOSMART TECHNOLOGIES INC (US)) 23 August 2001 (2001-08-23) page 4, line 11 - line 25 page 7, line 9 - line 14 claims 1,5,7,8	1,2,7,10
Y	---	8,9
X	GB 2 341 091 A (ORE AN LTD) 8 March 2000 (2000-03-08) page 7-8	1,2,10
Y	---	8,9
X	WO 91 05561 A (HULL PETER HUGH) 2 May 1991 (1991-05-02) claims 1,6,7	1,6,7,10

	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

G document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

2 May 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

09/05/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Thalmair, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

national Application No
PCT/GB03/00061

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 885 600 A (BLUM MELVIN ET AL) 23 March 1999 (1999-03-23) column 4, line 42 -column 5, line 7 claims 1,11,12 ---	1,5,10
Y	WO 01 85112 A (VROMAN JACOB ;AUSTRALIAN IMP ERS LTD (US)) 15 November 2001 (2001-11-15) claim 3; table 2 page 3, line 24 -page 4, line 13 ---	4,5,8,9
Y	CH 688 787 A (LINSIG DIETER ;RICHLI THOMAS (CH)) 31 March 1998 (1998-03-31) column 2, line 1 - line 11 column 3, line 35 ---	8,9
Y	US 5 962 018 A (CURTIS ERNEST S ET AL) 5 October 1999 (1999-10-05) the whole document ---	4,5
X	SCHMUTTERER H: "Effects on viruses and organisms;Insecta, insects: Effects by order of insecta: Insects: Phthiraptera; Sucking and biting lice." EW YORK, NEW YORK 10010, USA, 1995, pages 254-255, XP009007789 management, medicine, industry and other purposes. 1995 VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH;VCH Publishers, Inc. Postfach 10 11 61, Boschstrasse 12, D-6940 Weinheim, Germany; Suite 909, 220 East 23rd Street, New York, New York 10010, USA ISBN: 3-527-30054-6 page 254 ---	1,7,10
X	MORSY TOSSON A ET AL: "Evaluation of the in-vitro pediculicidal action of four known insecticides and three medicinal plant extracts." JOURNAL OF THE EGYPTIAN SOCIETY OF PARASITOLOGY, vol. 30, no. 3, December 2000 (2000-12), pages 699-708, XP001145806 ISSN: 1110-0583 the whole document --- -/--	1,10

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	<p>KLEEBERG HUBERTUS: "First experiences with NeemAzal formulations used in the control of head lice." PRACTICE ORIENTED RESULTS ON USE AND PRODUCTION OF NEEM-INGREDIENTS, 1994, pages 89-91, XP009007790 3rd Workshop;Wetzlar, Germany; November 22-25, 1993, 1994 Druck and Graphic Giessen, Germany ISBN: 3-925614-14-1 the whole document</p> <p>----</p>	1,10
X	<p>MULLA MIR S ET AL: "Activity and biological effects of neem products against arthropods of medical and veterinary importance." JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN MOSQUITO CONTROL ASSOCIATION, vol. 15, no. 2, June 1999 (1999-06), pages 133-152, XP009007758 ISSN: 8756-971X page 134 -page 135 page 148</p> <p>-----</p>	1,10

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/GB03/00061

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0160163	A	23-08-2001	AU 3836401 A	27-08-2001
			WO 0160163 A2	23-08-2001
			US 2003039674 A1	27-02-2003
			US 2003036530 A1	20-02-2003
GB 2341091	A	08-03-2000	NONE	
WO 9105561	A	02-05-1991	WO 9105561 A1	02-05-1991
US 5885600	A	23-03-1999	NONE	
WO 0185112	A	15-11-2001	AU 6306101 A	20-11-2001
			WO 0185112 A2	15-11-2001
CH 688787	A	31-03-1998	CH 688787 A5	31-03-1998
US 5962018	A	05-10-1999	AU 3471599 A	16-11-1999
			WO 9955307 A1	04-11-1999